

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Address:

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NA	MED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/049,121	03/27/98	CLARK		Ft*	2119-107P
- 002292 ·		MMC2/021:	EXAMINER -		EXAMINER
	RT KOLASCH 8			PHAN,J	
8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BUITE 500 EAST FALLS CHURCH VA 22042				2872	22
• '	•			DATE MAILED:	02/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. **09/049,121**

Applicant(s)

00,0

Group Art Unit

Office Action Summary

Examiner

James Phan

roup Art Unit 2872

Clark et al

Ш		

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained us 37 CFR 1.136(a).	or response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	nding in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 6-8, 11, 16-20, 30-32, 35, and 40-42 is/are with	drawn from consideration.
	re allowed.
☐ Claim(s) 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, 29, 33, 34, and 38 is/an	
☐ Claims are subject to restriction	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐dis	sapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	1
	/
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	\

The indicated allowability of claims 1, 3-4, 6-8,11-13, 16-18, 28 are withdrawn in view of the prior art filed 8/16/99. Non-elected claims including claims 6-8, 11 and 16-18 are no longer rejoined by the examiner. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 12-13, 22-26, 28, 36-37 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Selvakumar et al in view of La Fiandra.

Selvakumar et al discloses a vertical electrostatic comb drive which comprises a first array of stationary elements (fixed comb beams) and a second array of moving elements (moving beams). The vertical electrostatic comb drive further comprises a layer (MP) covering top of moving elements of the second array. Selvakuma et al does not discloses a reflective surface attached to the comb drive. However, Selvakuma et al discloses that the vertical electrostatic comb drive "can find use in a variety of applications where large deflection of a microstructure away from the wafer surface is needed" (see the teaching in the conclusion in column 1, page 6).

Also, La Fiandra discloses a deformable mirror actuator which comprises vertical comb drive and

Application/Control Number: 09/049,121

Art Unit: 2872

a reflective surface attached to the comb drive so that light beam reflected from the reflective surface is controllably positioned. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to attach a reflective surface to the vertical electrostatic comb drive in Selvakumar so as to form a device for use in optical application such as a laser beam positioning device or laser beam deflecting device.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5,9-10, 14-15, 29, 33-34, and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 2, 21, 39 and 43 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Phan whose telephone number is (703) 308-4810. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Phan, J.

Feb. 10, 2001

James Phan Primary Examiner Page 3